

KING CITY UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT

March 7, 2002

STEPHEN H. YOUNG SUPERINTENDENT DISTRICT OFFICE SUB-Broadway King City, CA 93930 (408) 385-1144 FAX (408) 385-3828

The Honorable Robert O Farrell
Presiding Judge of the Superior Court
Montercy County
P. O. Box 1819
Salmas, CA 93902

Dear Judge O'Tarrell;

Attached are the responses of our governing body, as required by Sections 933 and 933.05 of the California Penal Code, to the **Findings** and **Recommendations** in the <u>2001 Monterey County Grand Jury Final Report</u> dated January 2, 2002.

These responses were approved by the King City Union School District Governing Board of Trustees, our governing body, on March 6, 2002.

Sincerely,

Stephen H. Young, J.d.I

Superintendent

King City Union School District

GRAND JURY FINAL REPORT TITLED School Board Training

RESPONSE TO FINDINGS by King City Union School District

[as required by Section 933.05(a) California Penal Code]

(Agency)

Find- Check One Specify the portion of the Finding that is disputed and include an								
Find- ing #			Specify the portion of the Finding that is disputed and include an explanation of the reasons therefor.					
From Grand Jury Final Report	The respondent agrees with the Findings	The respondent disagrees wholly or partially with Finding: see next column	CONTINUE ON ATTACHED SHEETS AS NECESSARY					
#1	Х							
#2	х	:						
		: - 						
		i :						
		! :						

GRAND JURY FINAL REPORT TITLED School Board Training RESPONSE TO RECOMMENDATIONS by King City Union School District

[as required by Section 933.05(b) California Penal Code] (Agency)

Rec. #	Check One §933.05(b)				Time frame, explanation, summary (attached), etc.	
From Grand Jury Final Report	Has been implemented, include summary of action	Will be implemented.	Requires further analysis, (2) include time frame	Will not be implemented, (b) include explanation	CONTINUE ON ATTACHED SHEETS AS NECESSARY	
#1		X			See attached sheet explanation of responses for recommendations	
#2		х				
#3		X				
#4	:	Х				
				! ! 		
				-		
			· · !			
		: : : :				
	<u> </u>					

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- Currently, the KCUSD Board of Trustees has funds to support any training the
 trustees deem necessary. This has historically funded the various conferences board
 members attend annually and the training activities provided them at our planning
 retreats each year. In the future, we will create a separate line account for board
 member training funds. This will be done by April 2002.
- 2. Many of the recommended training topics for board members are covered in the conferences and trainings currently available to the board members. All conference and training opportunities that address these topics will be offered with to the board members and all of the topics included on the minimum list recommended by the Grand Jury will be specifically discussed throughout the year at selected board meetings. The list of topics recommended will be the core list for any collaborative efforts conducted with the Monterey County Office of Education (See explanation for Recommendation #3)
- 3. The Monterey County Office of Education (MCOE) has always been helpful in coordinating training opportunities and making training more accessible. This has been especially true for the many smaller districts that constitute most of the south county region of Monterey County. The KCUSD will work with MCOE to develop and refine school board training opportunities.
- 4. Board Members will be encouraged to attend as many workshops as needed. Board meeting discussions will help board members target their individual training needs and will include regular updates of training and workshop opportunities provided at CSBA conferences and coordinated with MCOE.

- (a) For purposes of subdivision (c) of Section 933, as to each grand jury finding, the responding person or entity shall indicate one of the following:
 - (1) The respondent agrees with the finding.
- (2) The respondent disagrees wholly or partially with the finding, in which case the response shall specify the portion of the finding that is disputed and shall include an explanation of the reasons therefor.
- (b) For purposes of subdivision (c) of Section 933, as to each grand jury recommendation, the responding person or entity shall report one of the following actions:
- (1) The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary regarding the implemented action.
- (2) The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation.
- (3) The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation and the scope and parameters of an analysis or study, and a timeframe for the matter to be prepared for discussion by the officer or director of the agency or department being investigated or reviewed, including the governing body of the public agency when applicable. This timeframe shall not exceed six months from the date of publication of the grand jury report.
- (4) The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable, with an explanation therefor.
- (c) However, if a finding or recommendation of the grand jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a county department headed by an elected officer, both the department head and the board of supervisors shall respond if requested by the grand jury, but the response of the board of supervisors shall address only those budgetary or personnel matters over which it has some decisionmaking authority. The response of the elected department head shall address all aspects of the findings or recommendations affecting his or her department.
- (d) A grand jury may request a subject person or entity to come before the grand jury for the purpose of reading and discussing the findings of the grand jury report that relates to that person or entity in order to verify the accuracy of the findings prior to their release.
- (e) A grand jury shall provide to the affected agency a copy of the portion of the grand jury report relating to that person or entity two working days prior to its public release and after the approval of the supervising judge. No officer, agency, department, or governing body of a public agency shall disclose any contents of the report prior to the public release of the final report.
- Sec. 2. Notwithstanding Section 17610 of the Government Code, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code. If the statewide cost of the claim for reimbursement does not exceed one million dollars (\$1,000.000), reimbursement shall be made from the State Mandates Claims Fund.

Notwithstanding Section 17580 of the Government Code, unless otherwise specified, the provisions of this act shall become operative on the same date that the act takes effect pursuant to the California Constitution.

933. Comments and Reports on Grand Jury Recommendations.

- (a) No later than the end of each fiscal or calendar year of a county, each grand jury impaneled during that fiscal or calendar year shall submit to the presiding judge of the superior court a final report of its findings and recommendations that pertain to county government matters other than fiscal matters during the fiscal or calendar year. Final reports on any appropriate subject may be submitted to the presiding judge of the superior court at any time during the term of service of a grand jury. A final report may be submitted for comment to responsible officers, agencies, or departments, including the county board of supervisors, when applicable, upon finding of the presiding judge that the report is in compliance with this title. One copy of each report found to be in compliance with this title shall be placed on file with the county clerk and remain on file in the office of the county clerk.
- (b) No later than the end of each fiscal or calendar year, each grand jury impaneled during that fiscal or calendar year shall submit to the presiding judge of the superior court a final report of its findings and recommendations that pertain to fiscal matters of county government during the fiscal or calendar year of the county.
- (c) No later than 90 days after the grand jury submits a final report on the operations of any public agency subject to its reviewing authority, the governing body of the public agency shall comment to the presiding judge of the superior court on the findings and recommendations pertaining to matters under the control of the governing body, and every elective county officer or agency head for which the grand jury has responsibility pursuant to Section 914.1 shall comment within 60 days to the presiding judge of the superior court, with an information copy sent to the board of supervisors, on the findings and recommendations pertaining to matters under the control of that county officer or agency head and any agency or agencies which that officer or agency head supervises or controls. In any city and county, the mayor shall also comment on the findings and recommendations. All such comments and reports shall forthwith be submitted to the presiding judge of the superior court who impaneled the grand jury. A copy of all responses to grand jury reports shall be placed on file with the clerk of the public agency and the office of the county clerk, or the mayor when applicable, and shall remain on file in those offices. One copy shall be placed on file with the applicable grand jury final report by, and in the control of the currently impaneled grand jury, where it shall be maintained for a minimum of five years.